

**DUTCHESS COUNTY
CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL**

2007 ANNUAL REPORT



**WILLIAM R. STEINHAUS
COUNTY EXECUTIVE**

**GARY CHRISTENSEN
CJC CHAIRMAN**

**MARY ELLEN STILL
CJC VICE-CHAIRPERSON**




CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL

***VISION STATEMENT:** The Criminal Justice Council has become a system where the overriding concern is for the fair, equitable, cost-effective and efficient administration of justice for the immediate and long term; preventive programming is being developed to minimize entry and re-entry into the criminal justice system; planning is system-based with goals and outcomes; decisions are grounded in information, research and facts, not politics; all Criminal Justice Council members are committed to actively work together to achieve these goals.

**This Vision Statement was created with the assistance of the National Institute of Corrections.*

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



BACKGROUND:

In 1992, Dutchess County Executive William R. Steinhaus recommended the creation of a Criminal Justice Council to serve in an advisory capacity. The Dutchess County Legislature subsequently passed Resolution #61 in 1993 creating a Criminal Justice Council as “necessary to support an efficient and effective criminal justice system.” Representatives of all elements of the criminal justice system as well as representatives of human service agencies, not-for-profits and citizen appointments participate on the Council.

As one of ten national sites selected, Dutchess County worked with the National Institute of Corrections during 1998-1999 to develop a strategic planning process and a committee structure. The Council, based on the strategic planning process, committed to the use of evidence-based practices.

THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE:

Since 2000, the Council has been guided by the Executive Committee which establishes strategic direction, analyzes available data and oversees the work of the Council and its committees. In 2007, the Executive Committee prepared the CJC Operational Overview which was subsequently adopted by the full Council.

The Executive Committee meets on a monthly basis and serves as a steering committee for the Criminal Justice Council. In 2007, the Executive Committee performed the following:

- Approval of the annual Alternatives to Incarceration Plan Update that the Office of Probation and Community Corrections submits to the New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives. The ATI Plan is presented to the Legislature each year and its adoption permits the county to receive a designated amount of funding for Pretrial Services and the Intensive Treatment Alternative Program (ITAP);
- Reviewed the monthly jail and Alternative to Incarceration statistics;
- Monitored the work and activities of the six CJC committees;
- Developed the Criminal Justice Council Operational Overview document;
- Approved the 2008 Criminal Justice Council budget proposal;
- Approved the submission of the Re-Entry Grant proposal to the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services;
- Reviewed the *Future of Sentencing in New York State: a Preliminary Proposal for Reform* by the New York State Commission on Sentencing Reform.

Most of the work of the Executive Committee and full Council is conducted at the committee level. Following is a summary of each of the Council’s Committees and their achievements for 2007:

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT COMMITTEE

2007 ANNUAL REPORT

Co-Chairs – Jacki Brownstein and Shirley Adams

The Committee is composed of members from a variety of constituencies and invites community members to participate in the committee. It is felt that it is important to remain informed about issues related to criminal justice. In particular this year the committee continued to inform itself about issues that affect the successful re-entry of people who have been incarcerated. The committee was also interested in finding a way in which they could positively affect successful re-entry.

In 2006, the committee invited numerous guests to inform the committee about restorative needs of the people entering into the community after incarceration. We reviewed some essential information that was garnered from those presentations and discussions.

- need for services that incorporate evidence based practices
- services that start immediately after leaving the jail
- the need for housing
- the need for employment
- the need for a community wide discussion of the issue.

In an effort to understand needs from the point of view of people who had reentered the community after incarceration, the committee in 2007 invited the group “Trees Without Roots”, created by former inmates in order to assist those who have served time to successfully reintegrate into the community, to talk to the CIC about their experience. They spoke about:

- the need to sensitize the community about the struggles of those reentering
- the need for the formerly incarcerated to understand their legal rights
- the importance of gainful employment
- the need to change community’s perception
- the need for more low income housing

It was noted by committee members that it would be useful to have a manual of employment services and training available to people reentering. Other ideas were suggested: outreach to the Chamber of Commerce, having Parole at the meetings and mentoring.

The committee decided to continue to concentrate its efforts on reentry issues and to work on a particular area(s) that could be effectively accomplished by the CIC. Members also wanted to be kept apprised of any issue or initiative that impacts on

reentry. In particular, issues related to housing, the EM residence and the status of the reentry grant.

The committee joined with the housing consortium in supporting a legislative breakfast to inform elected leaders about the issues connected with homelessness and the need for low income housing and in attending public hearings regarding the BRAC process and the zoning of boarding houses in the City of Poughkeepsie.

Information was given to the Committee regarding Mental Health court Connections and the effort in the City of Beacon. The committee also received information about the Poughkeepsie Court mental health pre-trial diversion services.

The Committee was asked by Nick Ignaffo from probation to become involved in the Safe Passage program. The MHA decided once it got settled in its new location on Mansion Street in Poughkeepsie they would ask staff to volunteer to be out in front of the building to participate in Safe Passage. Individual committee members could contact Nick if they wanted to become involved.

The CIC reviewed and updated the strategic plan from about a decade ago.

Considering that the RE-Entry Coordination grant was awarded to Dutchess County, there was discussion about the role of the CIC in reentry issues in light of this new committee being formed. Jacki Brownstein and Mary Sprigs will be on the committee and will bring back information from the committee to the CIC.

The CIC had a lengthy discussion about the term ex-offender with some members feeling that it is a stigmatizing term. It was agreed that we will try to use "people who were formerly incarcerated" and let others know that it is a preferred term by groups who have been formerly incarcerated or who advocate for them.

It was decided that the CIC would concentrate its efforts in helping the community become more aware of successes experienced by the formerly incarcerated. It was felt that it is important to begin that discussion with the community and that it would be a different concentration of effort from the RENTRY Coordination grant.

It was decided that in 2008, the CIC will determine how to implement this plan of action.

PREVENTION COMMITTEE

2007 Annual Report

Chair - William Sanchez

The 2007 Criminal Justice Council – Prevention Committee (CJCP) focused on the 3 year plan approved by the CJC Executive Council. The Prevention Committee met on a bimonthly basis.

Activities According to 3 Year Plan:

- Chairman of Prevention Committee: William Sanchez, Youth Bureau
 - No co-chair was appointed for the 2007 year.
- Housing Youth/Shelters: Hudson River Housing (HRH) received a grant to build a new shelter for River Haven which will include a Parenting Unit. (HRH is projecting an 18 month period to complete the build)
- Youth Gang Prevention Initiative (YGPI): In 2007 the County Executive released \$115,000 for Youth Gang Prevention/Intervention Services.
 - 4 agencies received funding offering services to 50 youth to prevent youth gang involvement.
 - 41 Police Officers received NYS Certification as School Resource Officer's (SRO). Youth Gang training provided for the first time with DCJS curriculum. Partnerships: DC Youth Bureau, DCJS, NY State Police, DC Sheriffs Office, Town of Fishkill and Lloyd Police Depts.
 - Provided training and technical assistance in relation to evidence-based research and best practices for gang prevention/intervention services to the CJCP and agencies in Dutchess County.
 - New York State Police provided Youth Gang Awareness/Training at the 10th Annual Youth/Adult Conference at the Grand Hotel in Poughkeepsie.

- Electronic Monitoring for Youth: Probation started electronic monitoring division for youth as alternative to placement and offers other opportunities for preventive/intervention services within the county.
- After School Prevention Services/Programs: Committee members shared and gathered as much information as possible for after school services or programs available according to 3 year plan.
 - Programs available by Goal: (Some agencies have several programs)
 - Goal #1: 26 programs
 - Goal #2: 3 programs
 - Goal #4: 10 programs
 - Goal #5: 18 programs
- Membership: CJCP tried recruiting more members especially from school districts and law enforcement agencies. There was a discussion about other committees sharing information or joining the CJCP because of our ability to promote discussion at the Legislature and to the County Executive.
- Resource Manual: A resource manual was agreed upon by the active members to list all the agencies and programs in Dutchess County who provide services to youth and families. This manual would resemble and have the same format as the CJC's ATI and Bail Options Manual.
 - Activities for CJC Prevention Manual:
 - Write cover letter explaining the purpose of the project so that organizations might be willing to cooperate with us.
 - Target was City of Poughkeepsie:
 - School District
 - Local Colleges
 - Faith Based Organizations
 - Police Departments
 - Community Centers
 - Agencies
 - Municipal Recreation Departments
 - Libraries

- Clubs (Lions, Elks, Rotary, American Legions, Boys & Girls Scouts, etc...)

(Creating this manual is an ongoing process which may take three years to complete)

- CJC Prevention Meeting Dates for 2008:
 - Wednesday, January 16, 2008
 - Wednesday, March 19, 2008
 - Wednesday, May 21, 2008
 - Wednesday, July 16, 2008
 - Wednesday, September 17, 2008
 - Wednesday, November 19, 2008

Location: DC Jail Conference Room, 150 South Hamilton St. Poughkeepsie, NY.

Time: 8:30am to 10:00am

The 2007 year was the preliminary point with working on the 3 year plan. Members will start 2008 by identifying services and information for the manual and continue to increase participation and recruit new members.

I want to thank all the members of the CJCP committee for all their hard work and efforts to identify services necessary to improve the lives of youth in Dutchess County.

I want to especially recognize and give thanks to Gary Christensen and Carol Levine for their dedication to the Criminal Justice Council and its committees. Their commitment to quality and leadership was exemplary. Best of wishes; you'll be missed.

SANCTIONS COMMITTEE

Annual Report 2007

Co-Chairs – Ron Knapp, Chief and Margaret Hirst

Mission Statement

The Sanctions Committee will educate participants in the criminal justice system and the general public about treatment versus punitive sanctions, research and promote innovative concepts and programs, and evaluate effectiveness of the graduated sanctions continuum

Strategies

Committee will meet regularly to define the purpose of the Alternative to Incarceration (ATI) system and make recommendations to CJC about the philosophy and purpose of a system of intermediate sanctions

Committee will research and promote the development of new intermediate sanctioning programs to address targeted special populations

Committee will broaden representation of the committee to include broad representation of community and criminal justice system

Committee will collaborate with the CJC evaluation staff to define effectiveness and measure program outcomes

Committee will educate public and CJ system regarding issue of mental illness

2007 Activities

- Explore the development of a video about the ATI options available in Dutchess County. (Target audience: potential candidates for ATI programs and referral sources such as lawyers, judges, etc) : No progress was made on this activity as the entity that was originally identified to make the video was no longer available. To be continued in 2008.
 - **ATI Manual was updated in May, 2007 and distributed to all judges, ATI staff and treatment programs describing current ATI programs available
- Educate the public and CJ system regarding issues of mental illness: CONNECT project providing training regarding issues of mental illness completed its first year; 11 trainings were held with 180 Probation, DMH and other staff involved in ATI programs participating

- Continue to monitor current ATI programs and schedule presentations for committee review.

Programs reviewed in 2007:

Poughkeepsie Drug Court: Operational since 2002; target population is misdemeanor, non-violent offenders who are chemically dependent

Average length of stay in program: 18 months

Currently there are 30 participants

Graduations since inception: 20

Drug free babies born: 5

Transitional House: Program changed operators in 2007. Currently operated by Project More

Average daily population (as of 12/07): 31

Total admissions 2007: 214

Community Transition Center; Program changed operators in 2007.

Currently operated by BI, Inc.

Average daily population (as of 12/07): 55

Total admissions 2007: 81

Other related programs:

Beacon Drug Court (statistics 2/2002 through 3/2007)

Target population: misdemeanor offenders, non-violent who are chemically dependent

Participants since onset; 59

Graduations; 21 (through the end of 2007)

Drug free babies born: 1

Family Treatment Court

Target population: adults with abuse/neglect petitions who are chemically dependent

Total number of participants admitted since inception 6 years ago: 103

Total current active participants: 15

Total graduates: 38

Total number of children returned permanently: 67

Juvenile Treatment Court

Target population; JD's who are chemically dependent

Operational 2 years

Total accepted in program: 15

Total currently active: 7

Pending graduation: 1

New programs fully operational in 2007

Mental Health Pre-trial Diversion in City of Poughkeepsie Court

Target population: individuals who are mentally ill

Total individuals screened=	69
Total individuals admitted=	54
Total individuals no time served=	25
Of those incarcerated	
Spent less than 90 days=	12 (41%)
Spent less than 30 days=	8 (28%)
Spent less than 7 days=	9 (31%)

Mental Health Outreach (DMH staff placed at Probation)

Individuals who are mentally ill/chemically dependent under probation supervision

Total individuals referred=	80
Total mentally ill=	49
Total seriously MI=	34
Total CD=	77

Crisis intervention services=	21
Housing needs=	16
Employment referrals=	6
Of the 6, 3 are working (2 found work on their own, 1 through Integrated Employment Services); a 4 th person is reapplying for IES services	
Referrals to inpatient treatment=	27
Referrals to outpatient treatment=	62
In danger of violation of probation	
At time of referral=	18
Of the 18, number violated=	8

Road to Recovery (multiple felony offenders)

Total admitted 2007=	16 (increase of 100%)
----------------------	-----------------------

- **Mental Health Sentencing Option:** began planning for this initiative in 2007 DMH and Probation staff met with Judge Timothy Pagonis, City of Beacon Court and developed a prototype for pre-trial diversion for mentally ill individuals. This will be monitored in 2008
- Participate in the Criminal Justice Council Program Evaluation initiative Postponed by CJC
- Update ATI Matrix to identify special populations that could be addressed through new ATI programs
Increase in serious juvenile crimes identified

- Explore implications of new Sex Offender Management laws
Barry Kaufman, NYS Attorney General's Office reviewed with the committee this new law and discussed possible implications in Dutchess County.
In late 2007, hearings and trials started. Committee will monitor through 2008 to identify impact to Dutchess criminal justice system
- Explore implications of new DWI laws
Mike Hill met with committee and reviewed this new law.
Impact; additional Drunk Driving Programs have been added to Dutchess Community College Drunk Driving Program schedule; more individuals have to go for full chemical dependency evaluations to get drivers license back.
Will continue to monitor this through 2008.

Goals for 2008

- Monitor the progress of the new Sentencing Guidelines. Final report is due in the Spring, 2008
- Monitor the implementation of new Social Service law changing the wording in Medicaid law to allow the *suspension* of Medicaid during incarceration
- Explore with local DSS for video conferencing/deputizing staff in DCJ to complete and determine eligibility for PA and MA. This will decrease need for Corrections staff to transport inmates to DSS for application process
- Explore Police-based Diversion program with City of Poughkeepsie Police
- Collaborate with Office of Court Administration to develop MH CONNECTION program in other jurisdictions in Dutchess County
- Explore how restitution and fees fit into system of graduated sanctions
- Probation Department will continue to monitor advanced technologies for Electronic Monitoring
- Juvenile detention costs have been eliminated from NYS budget: explore impact on Dutchess County
- Explore impact of housing (or lack thereof) on individuals successful completion of alternative to incarceration programs

JAIL/ATI CENSUS TASK FORCE

Co-Chairs – Thomas Angell and Susan West

Annual Report 2007

The Task Force examined the following issues in 2007

- Reviewed Family Court Incarcerations. There were 114 admissions in 2006. 50% paid child support upon entry. 50% served between 30 days and 6 months, resulting in 3092 jail days. Members of Family Court participated in meetings. We discussed legal representation for child support and methods of putting cases back on the calendar after jail. We also explored alternative sanctions to incarceration and programming to address the issues both in and out of jail.
- Discussed more DSS involvement in CJC work – a DSS representative has attended most meetings of this committee
- Conducted extension study of the DC Jail population comparing Jan-Feb 2006 to Jan-Feb 2007 and determined the principal reason for the increase in jail population was due to increase length of time spent by inmates on lower court felony cases
- Discussed need for additional forensic evaluation capacity
- Explored amount of money spent by the County on indigent legal defense
- Conducted advocacy for proposed Electronic Monitoring Residence
- Continued to monitor FTA initiative countywide
- Reviewed intersection of Mental Health System with criminal justice system
- Continued to work on parole issues in terms of processing parole revocation cases in the DC Jail
- Led Committee on Parole Re-entry
- Reviewed procedure for processing felony cases in Ulster County as compared with Dutchess County
- Continued discussions re: efficiencies in felony cases

Goals and Priorities for 2008

- Continue exploration of child support enforcement & “fatherhood initiative”
- Continue to assess feasibility of FTA elsewhere in the County
- Continue to monitor Parole Re-entry Issue
- Assisting non-Public Defender indigent clients to access alternative programs & resources (18B lawyers)
- Continue to assess impact of Family Court commitments on jail population
- Continue to monitor and review efficiency of all systems impacting the jail population

VICTIMS COMMITTEE

Annual Report – 2007

Co-Chairs – Marjorie Smith and Robert Rolison

This report is submitted to outline the work undertaken by members of the Victims Committee during 2007.

The past year, 2007, was a time for planning within the committee. That planning has been based upon analysis of the existing resources for victims in this county together with a review of new and existing laws relating to crime victim services and rights.

The committee membership is largely unchanged from 2006. However, we did gain a community member who, himself, was the victim of a robbery. His insights and experiences provided a significant platform for discussion. We also lost one of our members, Whitney Bonura, Director of the Crime Victims Program at Family Services, Inc. who left her position on the birth of her daughter.

The Pocket Information Guide first distributed in 2006 had a second printing because it was so widely accepted and appreciated. Updated telephone numbers and service information was included in this second printing.

The major thrust of the work undertaken by the committee related to the implementation of new state legislation in this county. That new legislation provides for specific notification requirements to victims of crime; that notification must be done by both police agencies and the District Attorney's Office. The practical difficulty with that law is that the notifications required by the statute provide absolutely no information regarding the local services available here in Dutchess County. Further, the law, as initially outlined, would have required distribution of materials that the state Crime Victim Board (CVB) did not make available until late in 2007. The committee's work centered on how to effectively make local information available in both a timely and cost effective manner. Since much of the local programming is centered on the mental health and emotional support issues following crime, the committee believes that information regarding the existence of those services is crucial. The materials from the CVB largely relate to monetary claims and not to advocacy and support. We continue to work to provide a meaningful county response that satisfies the state law and appropriately directs victims to services.

The work of the committee is strengthened by the cross membership with other groups in the community. Members of the Victims Committee are also members

of various state level groups including the Advisory Committee for the NYS Crime Victims Board, the Board of Directors for the New York State Coalition against Sexual Assault (NYSCASA), the Board of Directors of the New York State Chapter of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers (NYSATSA). Other members of the committee have presented and trained throughout the state at such conferences as the ATSA conference, the Crime Victims Board annual conference and the state CSOM (Center for Sex Offender Management) conferences. That on-going dialogue enhances the work of the committee in that future issues are known well in advance and permits input from this locality and discussion on impacts with local service providers, police and prosecution. Similarly, cross membership with other groups enhances dialogue and full discussion in addressing issues.

One of the issues has been the continued interest in development of a Family Justice Center. That concept was first proposed by the Victim Committee many years ago. Members of the Victims Committee have continued that discussion and support for that concept in collaboration with the exploration of the Citizens Advisory Committee on Domestic Violence,

During 2007, as a result of discussion in the committee, Family Services, Inc., the agency that maintains the County's general crime victim hotline changed the name of that hotline and the answering words to reflect that it is the Rape Crisis and Crime Victims Hotline. Previously, callers heard only that it was the Rape Crisis Hotline. Members of the Committee brought that to the attention of FSI and requested the change which was made.

We continue to work on education and training issues for police, advocates and the public at large. The committee is pursuing the training discussed in the 2006 annual report relating to cross-training police and advocates using role playing and Sheriff Anderson has offered assistance to make that event occur. Members of the committee are also discussing the development of an actual curriculum on victim issues for use with police agencies as part of basic training as well as ongoing in-service training for existing officers. That curriculum would include training on victims' rights, the new legislation on crime victim notification, as well as the impact of trauma on victims and how that may affect victims in their interaction with law enforcement and others.

The Victims Committee continues to explore areas in which services to victims of crimes can be enhanced. The meaningful platform for discussion at our meetings is a welcome one and continues to encourage open dialogue and brainstorming to consider how we, largely as representatives of "the system" can better interact with people who have been victimized by crime.

WOMEN INVOLVED IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM COMMITTEE

2007 Annual Report

Co-Chairs—Mary Ellen Still and Susan West

The Committee meets on the 4th Tuesday of each month from 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. at Family Services Inc., 50 N. Hamilton Street, Poughkeepsie, NY.

The Committee worked on an Action Plan that was developed on January 16, 2007 at a Criminal Justice Council workshop entitled “*Working with Women in the Criminal Justice System.*” The workshop was facilitated by three probation staff who received specialized training in women’s issues by the National Institute of Corrections. Karen O’Connor, Unit Administrator; Norma McGuire, Probation Officer and Diana Francis, also a Probation Officer tasked six groups with developing an action plan for women involved in the criminal justice system.

The six groups: Jail, Court System, Law Enforcement, Mental Health, Substance Abuse and “Other” focused on action steps that needed to be taken to improve the system in their particular field and what barriers would need to be overcome.

During the process of review, the workshop participants adhered to the following Gender Responsive Principles:

- Gender matters because of social and environmental factors as well as individual characteristics;
- Gender responsive programming requires an environment with safety, respect and dignity;
- Policies, practices and programs need to be relational and promote health connections;
- Gender responsive units use comprehensive, integrated and culturally relevant services and supervision to address trauma, substance abuse and mental health issues;
- Women need to be empowered to improve their individual and collective conditions;
- Adequate transitional planning needs to link comprehensive, collaborative services to community supervision.

The Committee regularly reviews progress made toward achieving the goals set in the workshop and plans to bring the participants back together for a report on outcomes achieved as well as to develop future goals.

Some of the sub-groups' achievements:

- new two-piece uniforms provided at the DC Jail for women
- new female hygiene products provided at the DC Jail for women
- provided training on motivational interviewing skills for Public Defenders and 18-B attorneys
- provided training for DC Magistrates on gender specific issues within the Criminal Justice System
- created department-specific trauma curricula
- maintain focus on on-going research on gender issues with LSI-R

The Committee also sponsored a second workshop on March 15, 2007 at Marist College entitled "Creating Trauma Informed Systems of Care for Human Service Settings." This workshop was held in collaboration with the National Center for Trauma Informed Care (NCTIC). The workshop was held in response to the growing recognition of the role trauma plays in the lives of women.

Under the sponsorship of the Women Involved in the Criminal Justice System Committee, a "Networking Brown Bag Breakfast" has been created for service providers who work with women. This endeavor has been very successful in terms of generating creative ideas and solutions as well as providing an environment for providers to discuss their issues and concerns. The Networking Breakfast is held at the Transitional Housing Program.

In 2008, the Committee will continue its work to raise awareness and educate the system on the specific needs of women involved in the criminal justice system. Particular attention will be paid to "system" issues so that consistent and gender responsive strategies are practiced by all agencies dealing with women.

The members of the Women Involved in the Criminal Justice System Committee have demonstrated creativity and leadership in dealing with the unique issues faced by women in the criminal justice system. They have continued to move the community forward in the development of gender responsive strategies that are evidence-based.

2007

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

2007	JAIL	Parole	ISP		ITAP		THP		CTC		EM JD		EM		ROR	RUS	TOTAL ATI
					PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT			
January	332	45	10		33	21	38	2	1	29	9	11	67	26	194	31	452
February	336	44	13		35	23	28	1	1	14	6	10	64	31	147	16	373
March	343	35	22		35	22	23	5	1	19	2	14	75	48	173	20	443
April	347	36	33		34	22	30	3	2	30	3	19	72	35	184	21	466
May	331	36	36		29	25	23	4	2	33	3	22	66	29	193	18	458
June	322	37	35		25	21	22	2	2	33	3	24	66	48	188	23	465
July	328	40	33		21	23	21	2	2	33	3	21	54	22	193	23	427
August	305	34	29		22	22	20	4	2	38	2	19	56	22	220	25	460
September	300	31	32		27	21	28	3	3	33	3	18	66	26	174	27	440
October	291	26	42		29	23	19	7	3	48	4	16	68	48	187	27	501
November	261	24	42		32	23	24	6	3	54	3	19	60	52	188	25	509
December	252	24	41	34	34	23	25	6	3	52	3	20	59	51	177	27	498

ADMISSIONS

	JAIL	Parole Admiss	ISP	FTA Ref	ITAP		THP		CTC		EM JD		EM		ROR	RUS	TOTAL ATI
					PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT	PRE	SENT			
January	280	22	3	33	7	0	20	1	0	0	3	6	24	13	45	5	151
February	223	14	4	28	7	1	12	1	0	8	1	5	26	14	24	2	127
March	290	16	9	56	3	1	12	2	0	5	0	7	27	4	49	8	176
April	255	15	11	40	6	0	13	0	14	1	2	4	21	11	30	6	153
May	285	12	2	31	2	0	8	1	1	4	3	5	32	9	42	1	133
June	312	25	1	24	1	0	19	3	1	3	2	3	25	7	39	7	130
July	307	20	1	40	3	1	15	2	0	1	0	3	31	5	47	6	152
August	330	14	0	24	4	1	23	2	0	5	1	6	26	7	55	6	153
September	282	12	4	27	5	1	23	3	0	8	2	2	28	12	35	5	151
October	289	10	7	24	6	4	15	4	2	16	2	4	21	11	56	6	172
November	253	16	3	9	7	1	18	2	0	6	1	6	29	8	49	5	137
December	237	17	2	19	3	0	15	0	0	6	2	4	15	6	30	7	103
TOTAL	3343	193	47	355	54	10	193	21	18	63	19	55	305	107	501	64	1738

WAITING LISTS

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC		
ITAP	6	3	1	6	3	5	0	6	10	7	5	7		
THP	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3		
CTC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

LEGEND
 CTC: Community Transitions Center
 LCR: Local Conditional Release
 THP: Transitional Housing Program
 PRE: Pretrial Cases
 EM: Electronic Monitoring Program
 ROR: Released on Own Recognizance
 ISP: Intensive Supervision Program (Felony Sex Offender Caseload)
 SENT: Sentenced Cases
 ITAP: Intensive Treatment Alternative Program
 RUS: Released Under Supervision

SENTENCED

UNSENTENCED

Community Residence

Electronic Monitoring

Electronic Monitoring

Intensive Treatment Alternative Program

Intensive Treatment Alternative Program

Community Transitions Center

Community Transitions Center

Specials *
Intensive Supervision
DWI
Sex Offender
Domestic Violence
Victims Services

Interim Supervision

Drug Court

Regular Probation Supervision

Pretrial and sentencing options from least restrictive to most restrictive is the heart of any community corrections program. The Office of Probation and Community Corrections offers alternatives at both the pretrial and post conviction level. Each can be used separately, some can be used simultaneously and all may be used in tandem.

Released Under Supervision (Special Conditions)

Released On Recognizance

At the end of 2007, Gary Christensen retired from his position as Jail Administrator and Chairperson of the Criminal Justice Council. The CJC Coordinator, Carol Levine, also left the Council. The Executive Committee and the Council wishes Gary and Carol success in their future endeavors and thanks them for their years of service.