

Project Prioritization Template

Rank each project according to the categories below. Add notes as needed.						
Project Prioritization Criteria	Potential Points	Project 1	Project 2	Project 3	Project 4	Project 5
<b>1. Transportation Access- select ALL that apply [see Center and Overview maps]</b>						
Provides direct access to schools and/or public facilities	3					
Provides direct access to jobs and/or shopping	3					
Provides direct access to parks/recreation	3					
Provides direct access to transit (train or bus)	3					
Provides direct access to residential neighborhoods	3					
<b>max score</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>2. Connectivity- select ONE [see Center and Overview maps]</b>						
Connects to existing bicycle/pedestrian facilities on both ends	15					
Connects to existing bicycle/pedestrian facilities on one end, or serves as an alternative to a busy street	10					
Connects to proposed bicycle/pedestrian facilities on one or both ends	5					
<b>max score</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>3. Safety- select ONE [see Crash maps]</b>						
The project is on a high-crash corridor (as designated in the Plan)	15					
The project is on a road with 2+ reported crashes but not a high-crash corridor (per the Plan)	10					
The project is on a roadway with <2 reported crashes (per the Plan)	5					
<b>max score</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>4. Local Impact- select ALL that apply [use your best judgement]</b>						
Increases local economic development	4					
Increases tourism	4					
Has the ability to serve the most people	0 to 5					
<b>max score</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>5. Environmental Justice- select ALL that apply [see Moving Dutchess maps/text]</b>						
Serves a low-income population	4					
Serves a minority (including Hispanic) and/or limited-English speaking population	4					
Provides access for older adults and/or young people [senior housing, senior centers, schools]	4					
<b>max score</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>6. Local support- select ONE [check Ch 2- Literature Review p.9-18; leave blank if unsure]</b>						
Full local support: in an adopted plan & actively being pursued	10					
Some local support: in an adopted plan but not actively being pursued	5					
Potential future support: not in an adopted plan and not being actively pursued	0					
<b>max score</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>7. Agency Coordination- select ONE [based on road type &amp; property owners]</b>						
Local municipality only	10					
Municipality + County or other local agency	5					
Municipality + State or Federal agency	0					
<b>max score</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>8. Ability to Implement - select ONE [use your best judgement]</b>						
Feasible and ready for implementation; likely to be funded	10					
Requires further study; potential to be constructed; potential to be funded	5					
Significant constraints: major construction; inter-jurisdictional coordination, funding will be challenging	0					
<b>max score</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>